Hearing Preservation in 2.7 cm Vestibular Schwannoma
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ABSTRACT

Hearing preservation in vestibular schwannoma surgery is exceedingly difficult, especially with increasing tumor size. We herein report a case where hearing preservation was achieved in a 2.7 cm vestibular schwannoma, where the patient maintained her pre-operative hearing threshold of 55 dB until a year after surgery. Hence, it appears that an attempt at hearing preservation is worth pursuing.
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INTRODUCTION

Hearing preservation in vestibular schwannoma surgery has been a matter of significant debate. There are ample reports ranging from hearing preservation to hearing improvement.1-7 The prognostic factors and the measures of preservation need further elucidation. Here we report a case where we were able to preserve hearing at the preoperative threshold, despite the tumor being of considerable size; 2.7 cm at its maximum vertical dimension.

CASE REPORT

A 29 years female presented with a history of headache, and tinnitus for three years on June 18, 2007. She also had occasional episodes of vomiting and dizziness. She had no other complaints. Her neurological examination was normal, except for Weber’s test that was lateralized to the right ear. Pre-operative pure tone audiogram showed a high frequency sensorineural hearing loss of 55 dB in the left ear (Figure 1). MRI showed 2.7 x 2.5 x 2.4 cm³ left cerebellopontine angle vestibular schwannoma (Figure 2, 3). On June 20, she underwent an uncomplicated tumor removal via a retro-sigmoid approach. Left internal acoustic meatus was free from tumor. At the end of the surgery, facial nerve stimulation was done and found intact. Clinically, her facial function was preserved at the level of House-Brackmann grade I.8 Immediate post-operative contrast enhanced Computed Tomography (CT) scan and a Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) at 12 months showed no residual tumor (Figure 4).

She had no deterioration in her hearing ability in post-operative period and after a year of surgery, she still maintains her pre-operative level of 55 dB of high frequency sensorineural hearing loss (Figure 5).

The tumor was histologically proven a schwannoma WHO grade I.
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DISCUSSION

Vestibular schwannoma, conventionally known as acoustic neuroma, is a non-malignant tumor of the VIIIth cranial nerve, commonly the inferior vestibular branch. They comprise about 6% of all intracranial tumors, about 30% of brainstem tumors, and about 85% of tumors in the region of the cerebellopontine angle. Slowly progressive one-sided hearing impairment is the most frequent symptom, occurring in more than 95% of patients. Nearly two-thirds of patients have a high-frequency sensorineural pattern of hearing loss.

Hearing loss is a major problem after the surgical treatment of vestibular schwannoma. This has been variously attributed to scarring, fibrosis, or microhemorrhages during operation. Over the long term, very few patients retain serviceable hearing. It is inevitable after translabyrinthine approach. Retrosigmoid and middle fossa approach result in 60% and 40% hearing loss, respectively. Although not precisely a complication, hearing in the operated ear often deteriorates over time to a greater extent than the unoperated ear, even without recurrent tumor.
few patients with serviceable hearing, it may further
deteriorate by 25\% in the late post-operative period.
Some authors have suggested that given the modest
hearing that is salvaged in the very few patients who
are candidates for hearing sparing surgery, hearing
preservation as an objective of vestibular schwannoma
surgery is not worthwhile.\textsuperscript{14}
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A short period from the onset of hearing loss, better pre-
operative hearing, small tumor size, superior vestibular
nerve origin, shorter intracranial wave V latency, shorter
absolute wave V latency and a good otoacoustic
emission have been associated with higher rates of
hearing preservation.\textsuperscript{5,16} Tumour filling the fundus of
the internal auditory canal was found to be a significant
adverse prognostic factor as regards successful hearing
preservation.\textsuperscript{2}

Preservation of hearing is critically dependent upon the
tumor size. Hearing preservation of 35-71\% can be
achieved with tumors of less than 1.5 cm size.\textsuperscript{1} With
tumors upto 2.5 cm, hearing can be saved in about 50\%
patients. Above 2.5 cm, this drops off precipitously.
In large tumors above 3 cm, hearing preservation is
achieved in only 10\%.\textsuperscript{17} With stereotactic radiosurgery,
hearing preservation upto 26\% has been achieved for
the tumors less than or equal to 3 cm.\textsuperscript{18} In one study,
postoperative hearing near preoperative levels were
attained in 167 patients (50\%). Though there was no
significant difference in mean tumor size between the
hearing preservation groups and the no measurable
hearing group, the mean preoperative tumor size in
patients from the preserved hearing group was 1.14
cm.\textsuperscript{15}

Hearing preservation and improvement have been
documented after vestibular schwannoma surgery.
What portends a bad prognosis in terms of hearing is
yet to be known. Though the size of the tumor has
been considered to be of critical importance, it is still
not significantly proven. Even with large sized tumors,
hearing preservation has been achieved. Till there is
further elucidation as to where a hearing preservation
surgery may not be worthwhile, it appears that an
attempt at hearing preservation is worth pursuing.

REFERENCES

VN. Preservation of Hearing in Surgery for Acoustic

2. Brookes GB, Woo J. Hearing preservation in acoustic neuroma

3. Chee GH, Nedzelski JM, Rowed D. Acoustic Neuroma
Surgery: the results of long-term hearing preservation. Otol

4. Inoue Y, Ogawa K, Kanzaki J. Hearing improvement after
tumor removal in a vestibular schwannoma patient with
severe hearing loss. Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol. 2003

5. Fischer G, Costantini JL, Mercier P. Improvement of
hearing after microsurgical removal of acoustic neurinoma.

6. Stidham K, Roberson JB. Hearing improvement after middle
fossa resection of vestibular schwannoma. Otol Neurotol.

7. Telian SA, Kemink JL, Kileny P. Hearing recovery following
suboccipital excision of acoustic neuroma. Arch Otolaryngol

8. House WF, Brackmann DE. Facial nerve grading system.


