Colorectal Cancer in Different Age Groups in a Tertiary Hospital in Nepal
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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Some studies have suggested that colorectal cancer at a younger age had distinct biological characteristics: different clinical presentations, more advanced stage at time of diagnosis and poorly differentiated carcinoma. The aim of the study is to analyze clinical and histopathological differences between younger (≤40 years of age) and older (>40 years of age) colorectal cancer patients.

Methods: A cross-sectional analysis was conducted amongst the colorectal cancer patients who visited Bir Hospital between July 2015 and April 2017. All colonoscopically diagnosed and histopathologically proven cases of colon cancer were included. Chi-square test and independent t – test was performed to analyze the difference between clinical presentations and histopathological findings among two groups of patients and P value of <0.05 was considered as significant.

Results: Thirty younger patients and thirty older patients were enrolled without any differences in gender proportion. There were no statistical differences between clinical presentation and histological grade and type in younger and older patients. The younger patients had more complaints of altered bowel habit (P <0.001) while older patients mostly presented with per rectal bleeding (P< 0.008).

Conclusions: In this study, colorectal cancer at younger ages showed similar characteristics to those of older patients except altered bowel habit was more common in younger patients while per rectal bleeding was more common in older patients. Although colorectal cancer incidence increases with age, younger patients with altered bowel habits, weight loss, anemia and anorexia should also be given due medical attention and undergo evaluation promptly.
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INTRODUCTION

Colorectal carcinoma (CRC) is one of the most common cancers diagnosed worldwide, with over 1.2 million new cases diagnosed each year.1 Despite improvements in screening for early diagnosis, colorectal cancer remains one of the biggest cancer killers in the world. It is documented as the fourth most frequent cancer in men and third in women.2 It is also one of the most common cancers in Nepal.3 Age is considered a major risk factor for colon cancer. Although CRC occurs predominantly in older people, it does affect young adults with a high incidence of up to 28% and this incidence is higher in Asia.4 This study is designed to compare the sites of primary tumor, clinical presentations and histopathological types of CRC in younger (≤ 40 years of age) and older (> 40 years of age) patients at Bir Hospital. A demonstration that colorectal cancer is more frequent than commonly
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assumed might lead to a reappraisal of clinical practice, medical priorities and resource allocation.

METHODS

A cross-sectional study was conducted at department of gastroenterology of Bir Hospital from July 2015 to April 2017. The ethical approval was taken from Institutional Review Board of NAMS and consent was taken from each patient before enrollment. The inclusion criteria of this study were all colonoscopically diagnosed and histopathologically proven cases of colon cancer in Bir Hospital and exclusion criteria were all other diagnosis than CRC in histological biopsy and if patients do not give consent. Sampling was done and the sample size was calculated using following formula,

\[
Z_a = 1.96 \text{ at 95\% confidence level}
\]

\[
Z_b = 0.84 \text{ at 80\% power}
\]

\[
P_1 = 0.71 \text{ in >40 years age group}
\]

\[
P_2 = 0.29 \text{ in <40 years age group}
\]

\[
P = (P_1 + P_2)/2
\]

\[
n = \left[ \frac{Z_a \sqrt{2P(1-P)}}{Z_b \sqrt{P_1(1-P_1) + P_2(1-P_2)}} \right]^2
\]

Using formula the sample size is \(n = 29\) in each group assuming that there will be higher prevalence among >40 group. Convenience sampling was taken. Data collecting consists of demographic aspects, gender, clinical aspects (chief complaints, duration of symptoms until diagnosis was established, family history of cancer, previous history of neoplastic disease), tumor location (caecum, ascending colon, hepatic flexure, transverse colon, splenic flexure, descending colon, rectosigmoid junction, rectum), differentiation grade (poorly, moderate and well differentiated), histologic types (adenocarcinoma, mucinous carcinoma, or signet ring cell carcinoma) and laboratory data (hemoglobin, total count, platelets and CEA at time of diagnosis).

Independent \(t\) test and chi-square were used to compare the results of various parameters among the studied patients. Values were expressed as mean ± SD, a 95\% confidence interval was taken and \(P\) values of <0.05 was considered to be statistically significant. SPSS version 17 was used.

RESULTS

Sixty patients were enrolled. Thirty patients were ≤40 years (younger patients) and 30 patients were >40 years (older patients). Number of male patients in young patients group were 14 (46.7\%) and female were 16 (53.3\%), while in older patients group male were 18 (60\%) and female were 12 (40\%). There is no significant difference of male and female \(P\) proportion between these two groups (\(P = 0.301\)) (Table 1).

**Table 1. Differences between younger and older patients based on sex.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>(\chi^2)</th>
<th>(P) value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>≤40 years</td>
<td>&gt;40 years</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>12 (40%)</td>
<td>16 (53.3%)</td>
<td>1.071</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>18 (60%)</td>
<td>14 (46.7%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Characteristic clinical symptoms in younger group were abdominal pain with 26 (86.7\%) cases, followed by 23 (76.7\%) cases of weight loss, 20 (66.7\%) cases of anorexia and 11 (36.7\%) cases of altered bowel habit. In the older group, abdominal pain and per rectal bleeding were the most reported complaint with 24 (80\%) and 23 (76.7\%) cases respectively, followed by 21 (70\%) cases of weight loss and anorexia each. If we compare the two groups, the older group frequently complained of PR bleeding (\(P = 0.008\)) while the younger group mostly complained for altered bowel habit (\(P < 0.001\)) (Table 2).

**Table 2. Comparison of patient’s chief complaints.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symptoms</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>(\chi^2)</th>
<th>(P) value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abdominal pain</td>
<td>≤40 years</td>
<td>&gt;40 years</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PR Bleeding</td>
<td>26 (86.7%)</td>
<td>24 (80.0%)</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdominal mass</td>
<td>13 (43.3%)</td>
<td>23 (76.7%)</td>
<td>6.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight loss</td>
<td>4 (13.3%)</td>
<td>2 (6.7%)</td>
<td>0.185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anorexia</td>
<td>23 (76.7%)</td>
<td>21 (70.0%)</td>
<td>0.341</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Altered bowel habit</td>
<td>11 (36.7%)</td>
<td>13.469</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Characteristic clinical symptoms in younger group were abdominal pain with 26 (86.7\%) cases, followed by 23 (76.7\%) cases of weight loss, 20 (66.7\%) cases of anorexia and 11 (36.7\%) cases of altered bowel habit. In the older group, abdominal pain and per rectal bleeding were the most reported complaint with 24 (80\%) and 23 (76.7\%) cases respectively, followed by 21 (70\%) cases of weight loss and anorexia each. If we compare the two groups, the older group frequently complained of PR bleeding (\(P = 0.008\)) while the younger group mostly complained for altered bowel habit (\(P < 0.001\)) (Table 2).

**Table 3. Comparison of colorectal carcinoma according to site.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>≤40 years</th>
<th>&gt;40 years</th>
<th>(\chi^2)</th>
<th>(P) value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rectum</td>
<td>11 (36.7%)</td>
<td>17 (56.7%)</td>
<td>2.411</td>
<td>0.121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rectosigmoid junction</td>
<td>4 (13.3%)</td>
<td>1 (3.3%)</td>
<td>0.873</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sigmoid colon</td>
<td>2 (6.7%)</td>
<td>1 (3.3%)</td>
<td>0.517</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Descending colon</td>
<td>1 (3.3%)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Splenic Flexure</td>
<td>1 (3.3%)</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>0.317</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transverse colon</td>
<td>2 (6.7%)</td>
<td>2 (6.7%)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatic Flexure</td>
<td>1 (3.3%)</td>
<td>2 (6.7%)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ascending colon</td>
<td>7 (23.3%)</td>
<td>4 (13.3%)</td>
<td>1.002</td>
<td>0.317</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caecum</td>
<td>3 (10%)</td>
<td>2 (6.7%)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There was no statistical difference in tumor sites.
between the two age groups. The most common sites for cancer occurrence was the rectum. In the younger group, tumor locations varied, but they were mostly located in the rectum with 11 (36.7%) cases, followed by the ascending colon with 7 (23.3%) cases, the rectosigmoid junction with 4 (13.3%) cases, and the caecum, transverse colon, hepatic flexure, descending colon, and sigmoid colon with 3 (10%) cases each. The tumor in older group was mainly located in the rectum with 3 (10%) cases, the ascending colon with 3 (10%) cases, and the transverse colon with 2 (6.7%) cases each. There was no tumor found in splenic flexure, and descending colon was accounted for 1 (3.3%) case each site. The tumor in older group was mostly located in the rectum, which is similar to the study done by Nurdjanah S et al, who found no significant difference in stage at time of diagnosis, and greater proportion of mucinous carcinoma and signet ring cell carcinoma. This result was contradictory with study of Bosola et al, who found no significant difference in stage at time of diagnosis between patients whose age under 40 years and the older ones. However, in our study, in both age groups, adenocarcinoma was predominant lesion which is similar to the study done by Nurdjanah S et al, who also showed adenocarcinoma was dominant in the two groups.

Comparison of hemoglobin (Hb) level at admission between young and older group was not significantly different (P = 0.541). Mean Hb in younger group was 9.86 ± 2.15 g% while in the older group mean Hb level was 10.16 ± 1.59 g%. (Table 5).

**Table 6. Comparison of CEA level.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CEA group</th>
<th>Group ≤40Years</th>
<th>Group &gt;40Years</th>
<th>χ²</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>≤ 5 ng/ml</td>
<td>6 (20%)</td>
<td>5 (17.9%)</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>0.835</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 5 ng/ml</td>
<td>24 (80%)</td>
<td>23 (82.1%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From carcino-embryonic antigen (CEA) investigation during hospitalization we have collected data from 30 patients in younger group and only 28 patients in older group. The comparison of data with CEA level did not show any significant difference (P = 0.0835) (Table 6). The cutoff value of CEA was set at 5 ng/ml.

**DISCUSSION**

Little is known about colorectal cancer in young age group and the behavior, clinical characteristics and prognosis of such tumors continue to be debated. Previous studies had shown some controversies on the differences in clinical characteristics and histopathologic types of CRC in different age groups. An aggressive behavior is a frequently cited characteristic of CRC in younger patients. Adenocarcinoma is the dominant type in the two groups with 26 (86.7%) cases in younger group and 29 (96.7%) cases in older group. There were no significant differences in the incidence of adeno carcinoma, signet rings cell, mucinous carcinoma, Non-Hodgkin lymphoma. On further analysis, histologic grade comparison in adenocarcinoma group did not show significant difference between well, moderately and poorly differentiated carcinoma between younger group and older group (χ² = 0.617, 0.144 and P = 0.432, 0.0835 respectively) (Table 4).

Recently, Al Jaberi et al, in comparative study of two groups (under 40 and more than 40 years old) had found that young age had more advanced staging at the time of diagnosis, and greater proportion of mucinous carcinoma and signet ring cell carcinoma. This result was contradictory with study of Bosola et al, who found no significant difference in stage at time of diagnosis between patients whose age under 40 years and the older ones. However, in our study, in both groups, adenocarcinoma was predominant lesion which is similar to the study done by Nurdjanah S et al, who also showed adenocarcinoma was dominant in the two groups.

In our study, the most common site of lesion was rectum in both the group followed by ascending colon. We considered caecum, ascending colon, hepatic flexure and transverse colon as proximal colon and splenic flexure, descending colon, sigmoid colon, rectosigmoid junction and rectum as distal colon. In younger patients, 43.4% and 56.6% of cases had lesion in the caecum, ascending colon, hepatic flexure, splenic flexure, and descending colon were 1 (3.3%) case each site. The tumor in older group was located mostly in the rectum with 17 (56.7%) cases, followed by the ascending colon with 13 (43.3%) cases, and the transverse colon with 7 (23.3%) cases. There was no tumor found in the ascending colon, and 2 (6.7%) cases each in the caecum, transverse colon, hepatic flexure, descending colon, and sigmoid colon. The tumor in older group was located mostly in the rectum, which is similar to the study done by Nurdjanah S et al, who found no significant difference in stage at time of diagnosis, and greater proportion of mucinous carcinoma and signet ring cell carcinoma. This result was contradictory with study of Bosola et al, who found no significant difference in stage at time of diagnosis between patients whose age under 40 years and the older ones. However, in our study, in both groups, adenocarcinoma was predominant lesion which is similar to the study done by Nurdjanah S et al, who also showed adenocarcinoma was dominant in the two groups.
proximal colon and distal colon. In patients aged above 40 years, 33.3% and 66.7% had lesions in proximal colon and distal colon. This was in concordance with the study done by Fazeli et al which showed the tumor site location was almost the same between two age groups. Similar studies done in Sri Lanka, Singapore and Egypt showed no significant difference in the tumor location of colorectal cancer in both the age groups. This finding is in contradiction to the Western literature, that incidence of CRC is more towards the proximal colon.

In our study, there is no significant difference in CEA level and Hb level which is similar to the study done by Nurdjanah S et al, which also showed no significant difference in patients less than 40 years old compared to those in older age in CEA level and Hb level.

The limitation of the study is small sample size and single centered.

CONCLUSIONS

This study showed no statistical significant difference of colorectal cancer which occurred in patients ≤40 years old compared to patients above the age of 40 years observed from histologic type and grade at time of diagnosis. The tumor site of colorectal cancer in both groups was found to be in the rectum with the chief complaint of altered bowel habit in younger patients and per rectal bleeding mostly in older patients.

Extra attention should be given to those patients with altered bowel habit, anemia, anorexia and loss of weight in the appropriate clinical context. The disease can present at a relatively young age and thus young patients with the right clinical picture should be investigated just as thoroughly as older patients.
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