Outcome of Supracondylar Fractures of the Humerus in Children Treated by Closed Reduction and Percutaneous Pinning
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ABSTRACT

Supracondylar fractures of humerus in children are common injuries. Displaced fractures are inherently unstable. Conservative treatment results in malunion. Open reduction and internal fixation (ORIF) is more invasive and recovery is prolonged. From September 2004 to September 2005, 102 displaced supracondylar fractures of humerus, aged between one and half year to 13 years, were treated using close reduction and percutaneous Kirschner (K) wire fixation under c-arm fluoroscopy. Seventy nine patients were treated by cross K-wires and in twenty three cases lateral two K-wires were put. Above elbow plaster of paris back slab was applied in all cases for at least four weeks. Back slab, K-wires were removed after four weeks and elbow range of motion exercise was started. Results were analyzed using Flynn’s criteria. All patients were followed up to 14th week postoperatively. In cross K-wire group (N=79) 70.8% had excellent, 22.7% good, 3.8% fair and 2.5% had poor results at eight weeks follow up which was improved to 91.1% excellent, 6.3 good, 1.2% fair and 1.26% poor results at 14 weeks follow up. In lateral K-wire group (N=23) 70% had excellent, 21.7% good, 4.3% fair and 4.3% had poor result at eighth week which was improved to 91.3% excellent, 4.3% good, 4.3% fair and no poor result at 14th week follow up. Eight patients got superficial pin tract infection and seven patients sustained ulnar nerve injury post operatively. We recommend this procedure for displaced supracondylar fractures in children as it is safe and cost effective procedure with acceptable complication rates.
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INTRODUCTION

Supracondylar fracture of the humerus is the second most common fracture in children and is usually accompanied with marked swelling which presents a formidable challenge for reduction and immobilisation.1-3 Many of these fractures are unstable after reduction except in an acutely-flexed position. If considerable swelling is present, the position may compromise with vascularity and predispose to Volkmann’s ischemic contracture.4,5 Immobilization in the safer right-angle position will frequently allow the fragments to slip, producing various deformities causing supracondylar dilemma.6

Closed reduction and fixation with percutaneous Kirschner (K) wire was first described by Swenson.7 He pointed out the advantage as 1) stable fixation of fracture fragment, 2) decreased risk of circulatory compromise in the form of restoration of radial pulse in nearly 90 percent of cases of brachial artery injury, and 3) a simple and cost-effective procedure. The purpose of this study was to assess the ability of closed reduction and percutaneous K-wire fixation, to obtain
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and maintain an adequate fixation, and to evaluate the recovery of elbow range of motion (ROM) and carrying angle.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

This is a prospective, observational and clinical study done at the department of Orthopedic and Trauma Surgery, Patan Hospital, from September, 2004 to September, 2005. A total of 102 children with fractures supracondylar of humerus Grade III, (Figure 1) closed or Grade I open were included after taking informed consent. The patients were aged between one-and-a-half to 13 years with the mean age of 7.76 years. We excluded Grade I and Grade II fractures and open fractures of more than Grade I. bilateral fractures and those cases which had previous contralateral fractures around the elbow were also excluded. The time of operation ranges from the first day of injury to the eighth week post-operatively. In the lateral K-wire group (N=23), 70% had excellent, 21.7% good, 4.3% fair and 4.3% poor results at the eight week follow-up which was improved to 91.1% excellent, 6.3% good, 3.8% fair and 2.5% poor results at the 14th week follow-up. In the crossed K-wire group (N=79), 70.8% had excellent, 22.7% good, 1.2% fair and 1.26% poor results at the 14th week follow-up (Table 2).

RESULTS

Male children were affected more than female with the ratio being 58 to 44. The left side was affected more than the right (ratio 54:48). The extension type was 95 (93.13%) and flexion type 7 (6.86%). Twenty three (22.55%) cases were treated by two lateral parallel K-wires and seventy nine (77.45%) by cross K-wires. Preoperatively, three cases were open fractures, six cases had nerve injuries (median nerve three, ulnar nerve two and radial nerve one) and there were no cases of vascular injuries (Figure 5).

Post-operatively, seven patients (6.86%) got ulnar nerve injury and eight (7.84%) patients got pin tract infection, which was superficial and healed after removing pins and oral antibiotic administration. All except one nerve injuries recovered within three-and-a-half months post-operatively. There was no ulnar nerve injury in the patient treated by inserting only lateral two K-wires (Figure 6, 7).

Callus formation was seen in all patients at the fourth week post-operatively before removing the K-wires, (Figure 3). The fracture united in all cases at the fourth week post-operatively. Results were analyzed using Flynn’s criteria. All patients were followed at eighth week and the 14th week postoperatively. In the crossed K-wire group (N=79), 70% had excellent, 22.7% good, 3.8% fair and 2.5% poor results at the eight week follow-up which was improved to 91.1% excellent, 6.3% good, 1.2% fair and 1.26% poor results at the 14th week follow-up. In the lateral K-wire group (N=23), 70% had excellent, 21.7% good, 4.3% fair and 4.3% poor results at eight weeks which was improved to 91.3% excellent, 4.3% good, 4.3% fair and no poor result at the 14th week follow-up (Table 2).

During this study, complications like vascular injury, compartment syndrome, myositis ossifications, significant mal-union and non-union were not encountered. Distal pin migration was seen in 4 (3.92%) patients, loss of reduction was seen in 2 (1.96%), which was not significant and did not require re-reduction and re-pinning.

DISCUSSIONS

A supracondylar fracture of the humerus is the most common fracture of the elbow in children. Unfortunately, it can also be one of the most difficult fractures to treat. While some authors have relied on a child’s remodeling capability to compensate for inadequate reduction, most authors agree that accurate reduction with minimum joint and soft-tissue trauma is required to achieve the best possible functional result.
In this study, the results of both lateral and cross pin insertion groups at eighth post-operative week showed excellent results in around seventy percent of patients. At the 14th week post operatively these excellent results were seen in more than ninety percent of the cases. We believe that this increase of range of motion of the elbow was because of the physiotherapy. Those patients who had good or fair results were having severe soft tissue injuries or repeated closed reduction performed in another center. Khan obtained 88% excellent, four percent good and four percent poor results in his study. Tiwari observed 88 percent satisfactory results, among which 42% were excellent, in his series of late-presenting supracondylar fractures of humerus in children. These two studies are comparable to our study.

Cubitus varus deformity is the most common problem seen after the treatment of supracondylar fractures. The cause of the deformity is coronal rotation, or tilting of the distal fragment. Some investigators believed that varus deformity is due to epiphyseal growth disturbance or rotation of the distal fragment. Smith suggested that residual medial tilt after reduction is the most important factor in varus angulations, with isolated rotational deformities being corrected by compensatory rotation at the shoulder. This concept has become popular in understanding the sequel of alteration in carrying angle.

In this series, six patients (5.88%) had nerve injury pre-operatively, out of which three had median, two ulnar and one radial. Seven patients got ulnar nerve injuries post-operatively, which is 6.86% of the total number. All the nerve injuries recovered within 14 weeks post-operatively except one case. The incidence of post-operative has been estimated to range from 5 to 19%. Culp recommends that initial observation and supportive therapy for neural injury associated with a closed, displaced, supracondylar fracture of the humerus; and that if there is no clinical or electromyography evidence of return of neural function at five months after injury, exploration and neurolysis should be performed. If the nerve is in continuity, the prognosis after neurolysis is excellent.

In the present study, there was no incidence of ulnar nerve injury where pinning was done from the lateral side; and we did not find any difference in bone-healing and stability between lateral-pin insertion and cross-pin insertion as we followed the same treatment protocol for both the groups. Skaggs found that the use of lateral-entry pins alone was effective for even the most unstable supracondylar humeral fractures and they saw no iatrogenic ulnar nerve injuries, and no reduction was lost. They suggest that the important technical points for fixation with lateral-entry pins are (i) maximizing separation of the pins at the fracture site, (ii) engaging the medial and lateral columns proximal to the fracture, (iii) engaging sufficient bone in both the proximal segment and the distal fragment, and (iv) maintaining a low threshold for use of a third lateral entry pin if there is concern about fracture stability or the location of the first two pins.

In the present series, eight (7.84%) patients developed pin-tract infections, which were superficial and healed after removing pins and administration of oral antibiotics. No deep infection or septic arthritis was found. Pirone found superficial pin-tract infection in two percent of cases with no deep infection and septic arthritis. We had more pin tract infection which was probably because of poor hygienic conditions of the patient. In the present series, the distal pin migration was seen in four (3.92%) patients and loss of reduction in two (1.96%), which were not significant and so required no re-reduction and re-pinning. Gordon observed pin-tract migration in six percent of cases and Lee noticed the loss of reduction in seven percent of cases.

CONCLUSIONS

Closed reduction and percutaneous K-wire pinning in the management of supracondylar fractures of the humerus in children is safe as regards avoidance of vascular complications, effective in obtaining good results, and relatively economical regarding hospitalization. The disadvantage is the need for technical proficiency and the availability of c-arm fluoroscopy. There is a risk of injuring the ulnar nerve in cross pinning and this can be avoided by pinning only lateral two pins.
Figure 2. Intra-operative picture of c-arm after fixing with K-wire (a) anterior-posterior (AP) and (b) lateral view.

Figure 3. After K-wire fixation and POP back slab (a) anterior-posterior (AP) and (b) lateral view on fourth week post-operatively.

Figure 4. Good union seen after K-wire removal (a) anterior-posterior (AP) and (b) lateral view on the eighth week post-operatively.

Figure 5. Pre-operative Nerve Injuries.

Figure 6. A typical pin tract infection.

Figure 7. Comparison of carrying angle of both elbows shows almost similar results.
Table 1. Results of the Evaluation of the Hundred and Two Patients According to the Flynn Criteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pin Inserted</th>
<th>No. of Cases</th>
<th>Follow up duration*</th>
<th>Excellent Number (%)</th>
<th>Good Number (%)</th>
<th>Grading Fair Number (%)</th>
<th>Poor Number (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cross</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>56 (70.88)</td>
<td>18 (22.78)</td>
<td>3 (3.79)</td>
<td>2 (2.53)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td>72 (91.19)</td>
<td>5 (6.29)</td>
<td>1 (1.26)</td>
<td>1 (1.26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>16 (69.56)</td>
<td>5 (21.73)</td>
<td>1 (4.34)</td>
<td>1 (4.34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td>21 (91.3)</td>
<td>1 (4.34)</td>
<td>1 (4.34)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*week
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