

# COVID-19 among Patients Visiting the Department of Emergency of a Tertiary Care Centre: A Descriptive Cross-sectional Study

Mahendra Raj Shrestha,<sup>1</sup> Ajaya Basnet,<sup>2</sup> Rajendra Maharjan,<sup>1</sup> Arun Bahadur Chand,<sup>3</sup> Lochan Karki,<sup>4</sup> Subash Singh,<sup>1</sup> Sagar Ghimire,<sup>5</sup> Rupak Maharjan<sup>6</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Clinical Laboratory, Nepal Armed Police Force Hospital, Balambu, Kathmandu, Nepal, <sup>2</sup>Department of Medical Microbiology, Shi-Gan International College of Science and Technology, Maharajganj, Kathmandu, Nepal, <sup>3</sup>Department of Clinical Laboratory, KIST Medical College and Teaching Hospital, Mahalaxmi, Lalitpur, Nepal, <sup>4</sup>Department of Medicine, National Academy of Medical Sciences, Bir Hospital, Mahaboudha, Kathmandu, Nepal, <sup>5</sup>Department of Molecular Laboratory, Nepal Armed Police Force Hospital, Balambu, Kathmandu, Nepal, <sup>6</sup>Department of Dermatology, Nepal Armed Police Force Hospital, Balambu, Kathmandu, Nepal, <sup>6</sup>Department of Dermatology, Nepal Armed Police Force Hospital, Balambu, Kathmandu, Nepal, <sup>6</sup>Department of Dermatology, Nepal Armed Police Force Hospital, Balambu, Kathmandu, Nepal, <sup>6</sup>Department of Dermatology, Nepal Armed Police Force Hospital, Balambu, Kathmandu, Nepal, <sup>6</sup>Department of Dermatology, Nepal Armed Police Force Hospital, Balambu, Kathmandu, Nepal, <sup>6</sup>Department of Dermatology, Nepal Armed Police Force Hospital, Balambu, Kathmandu, Nepal, <sup>6</sup>Department of Dermatology, Nepal Armed Police Force Hospital, Balambu, Kathmandu, Nepal, <sup>6</sup>Department of Dermatology, Nepal Armed Police Force Hospital, Balambu, Kathmandu, Nepal, <sup>6</sup>Department of Dermatology, Nepal Armed Police Force Hospital, Balambu, Kathmandu, Nepal, <sup>6</sup>Department of Dermatology, Nepal Armed Police Force Hospital, Balambu, Kathmandu, Nepal.

## **ABSTRACT**

**Introduction:** Because of the unbridled transmissibility of the SARS-CoV-2 worldwide, researchers and healthcare professionals have set a common goal for timely diagnosis and future prevention of the disease. The aim of this study was to find out the prevalence of COVID-19 among patients visiting the Department of Emergency of a tertiary care centre.

**Methods:** This descriptive cross-sectional study was conducted among the individuals suspected COVID-19 who had visited the Department of Emergency of a tertiary care centre between 11 January 2021 and 29 December 2021. Ethical approval was taken from Ethical Review Board (Reference number: 2768). Socio-demographic details, clinical symptoms, and two nasopharyngeal swab samples (one in viral transport medium to run RT-PCR and the other for Ag-RDT) were collected from each individual. Convenience sampling method was used. Point estimate and 95% Confidence Interval were calculated.

**Results:** Among the 232 patients, COVID-19 was detected in 108 (46.55%) (40.13-52.97, 95% CI) by Ag-RDT. A total of 44 (39.63%) of age groups 31-40 years were predominantly infected with SARS-CoV-2. The mean age was 32.13±10.80 years and was mostly males 73 (65.77%). Fever was present in 57 (51.35%) and dry cough was present in 50 (45.05%) COVID-19 patients.

**Conclusions:** The prevalence of COVID-19 among hospitalized individuals in this study was higher than in previous studies conducted in similar settings.

Keywords: COVID-19; Nepal; prevalence; SARS-CoV-2.

#### **INTRODUCTION**

As of 25<sup>th</sup> June 2022, 5,749,355 reverse transcriptasepolymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) tests have been performed in Nepal and among them, 979,489 tests have diagnosed coronavirus disease of 2019 (COVID-19).<sup>1</sup>

RT-PCR assay although deemed to be the most sensitive and reliable gold standard tool to diagnose SARS-CoV-2 infection in individuals as mentioned by the WHO, is a package of complex laboratory procedures, involving increased cost, prolonged report time, and the need for sophisticated laboratory setup.<sup>2,3</sup> The immunological diagnosis of COVID-19 by SARS-CoV-2 antigen-based rapid diagnostic test (Ag-RDT) can be the economic and swift alternative to chain the disease. While some international studies

Correspondence: Mr Ajaya Basnet, Department of Medical Microbiology, Shi-Gan International College of Science and Technology, Maharajgunj, Kathmandu, Nepal. Email: xlcprk@gmail.com, Phone: +977-9841170737. have reported high percentages of sensitivity (92.80-98.3%) and specificity (100%) of SARS-CoV-2 Ag-RDT,<sup>4</sup> several studies have reported lower sensitivity (57.6-81.8%) and specificity (98.7-99.5%) too.<sup>5,6</sup> However, only a handful of such studies have been published in Nepal.

The aim of this study was to find out the prevalence of COVID-19 among patients visiting the Department of Emergency of a tertiary care centre.

#### **METHODS**

A descriptive cross-sectional study was conducted among COVID-19 suspected symptomatic and asymptomatic individuals, irrespective of age and gender, who had visited the Department of Emergency Services of Nepal Armed Police Force Hospital (NAPFH), Balambu, Kathmandu, Nepal, between 11 January 2021 and 29 December 2021. Ethical approval was taken from the Ethical Review Board (Reference number: 2768). Written informed consent either from study participants (or their relatives) was obtained.

Those individuals, who were recent foreign returnees, or had a travel history to local endemic areas within the past 7 days and who presented a history of contact with COVID-19-confirmed patients, health workers who were directly involved in treating the COVID-19-positive cases were included in the study. Individuals with traumatic injuries that have affected the nasopharyngeal swab collection anatomical region were excluded. Convenience sampling method was used. The sample size was calculated using the following formula:

n= 
$$Z^2 \times \frac{p \times q}{e^2}$$
  
=  $1.96^2 \times \frac{0.50 \times 0.50}{0.07^2}$ 

= 196

Where,

n= minimum required sample size Z= 1.96 at 95% Confidence Interval (CI) p= prevalence taken as 50% for maximum sample size calculation q= 1-p

e= margin of error, 7%

The calculated sample size was 196. After adding a 10% non-response rate, the sample size was 218, However, 232 sample size was taken.

According to the WHO, patients having shortness of breath, chest pain, and loss of speech and mobility were considered to be having serious COVID-19 symptoms; fever more than  $38^{\circ}$ C ( $100.4^{\circ}$ F), cough, tiredness, loss of smell or taste were considered to be having common

symptoms; sore throat, headache, myalgia, diarrhoea, rashes, or discolouration of the skin, and red irritated eyes were considered to be having less common symptoms for COVID-19. Individuals presenting lower oxygen saturation (SpO<sub>2</sub> <95%) with fingertip pulse oximeter were suspected to be infected.7 A pair of nasopharyngeal secretions swab samples was collected from each of the patients following the standard operating procedure (SOP) of the National Public Health Laboratory (NPHL) of Nepal. A swab for RT-PCR was inserted in a 3 ml viral transport media (VTM), and the other swab for SARS-CoV-2 Ag-RDT was inserted in a dedicated extraction tube provided with the kit. Samples and patient information sheets of each patient were packed separately in plastic zip-lock bags and were transported aseptically by maintaining a cold chain to the molecular laboratory of the hospital.

SARS-CoV-2 viral nucleic acid extraction was performed with spin column membrane-based purification and the amplification was performed by a multiplex RT-PCR kit for the open reading frame (ORF) lab and the N gene. An endogenous control probe labelled with a specific dye targeting the human RNase P gene was incorporated for specimen integrity, nucleic acid isolation, amplification, and detection in the reagent mixture. As the fluorescence signal changed the computer-controlled RT-PCR, the thermal cycler device drew an amplification curve such that the fluorescence produced was directly proportional to the quantity of DNA formed and fluorophore released, in each cycle continued till the end cycle for all-optical channels. The CT values obtained were those cycle numbers when the fluorescence was detectably crossed the background fluorescence, which we interpreted to determine the result as the presence/absence of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in samples. A test result was typically considered positive if amplification was observed for two or more viral targets and the positive control RNA, while it was considered negative if amplification was observed only for the positive control RNA but not for the viral targets.8

SARS-CoV-2 Ag-RDT tests were performed with NPHLauthorized kits using monoclonal anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibodies, targeting SARS-CoV-2 antigens such as S protein and N protein.<sup>7,9,10</sup> Nasopharyngeal secretions swab samples liquefied in an extraction buffer were applied on the absorbent sample pad of the Ag-RDT kit. The analyte of interest (SARS-CoV-2 antigen in this case) if present, would bind to the colloidal gold nanoparticle-antibody conjugate at the first sight of the kit and the antigen-gold nanoparticle-antibody complex formed would flow to the other site where this complex would be captured by capture antibody to give a visible coloured band.<sup>9</sup> Data were entered in Microsoft Excel 2016 and analysis was done using IBM Statistics SPSS 17.0. Point estimate and 95% CI were calculated.

#### **RESULTS**

Among the 232 patients, COVID-19 was detected in 108 (46.55%) (40.13-52.97, 95% CI) by Ag-RDT. The mean age was  $32.13\pm10.80$  years (Table 1).

Table 1. Patients distribution based on age group			
and gender (n= 108).			
Demographics		n (%)	
Age group (years)	≤ <b>10</b>	1 (0.93)	
	11-20	8 (7.41)	
	21-30	40 (37.04)	
	31-40	44 (40.74)	
	41-50	10 (9.23)	
	51-60	3 (2.78)	
	≥ 61	2 (1.85)	
Gender	Male	73 (67.59)	
	Female	35 (32.41)	

Among the individuals with COVID-19, there were 90 (83.33%) symptomatic individuals. Most of the symptomatic COVID-19 individuals showed the presence of 2 symptoms 41 (37.96%), followed by the presence of  $\geq$ 3 symptoms 32 (29.63%). Fever was present in 57 (52.78%), followed by dry cough in 50 (44.30%) (Table 2).

Table 2. Distribution of symptoms among patients		
with and without COVID-19 (n= 108).		
Variables	n (%)	
No symptom	18 (16.67)	
1 symptom	17 (15.74)	
2 symptoms	41 (37.96)	
≥ 3 symptoms	32 (29.63)	
Symptoms		
Anosmia	4 (3.70)	
Dry cough	50 (46.30)	
Fever	57 (52.78)	
Headache	24 (22.22)	
Myalgia	39 (36.11)	
Pharyngitis	5 (4.63)	
Rhinorrhea	17 (15.74)	
Others	6 (5.56)	

#### **DISCUSSION**

Ever since the first case of COVID-19 caused pneumonia due to SARS-CoV-2, an enveloped RNA beta Coronavirus was reported in Wuhan, Hubei Province, China, in December 2019,<sup>10</sup> the viral infection spread rapidly around the world and subsequently reached the pandemic level,<sup>11</sup> as declared by the World Health Organization (WHO) on March 11, 2020.<sup>12</sup> As of June 25, 2022, there were over 548 million confirmed

cases of COVID-19 with more than 6 million deaths, across 228 countries and territories worldwide.<sup>13</sup>

In this study, the SARS-CoV-2 Ag-RDT assay showed the prevalence of COVID-19 in a tertiary care hospital to be 46.55% by Ag-RDT and 47.84% by RT-PCR. Although several studies conducted in developed nations have reported an alternative test to diagnose COVID-19, preferably with the use of SARS-CoV-2 Ag-RDT, and shed light on the diagnostic value of such a test, these studies are markedly limited in developing countries, including Nepal. Moreover, there exist differences in diagnostic accuracy among the kits, which could be attributed to several factors, including manufacturer incorporation of a well-characterized sample set for which the results are known, manufacturer inclusion of a large fraction of specimens displaying high viral loads, test conductance by highly trained personnel, who often have been involved in test development and are well aware of potential pitfalls (weak bands or other forms of ambiguous results), and variations in the quality of the nasopharyngeal swabs samples, as they were collected by different health care workers in a turbulent environment.14,15

This study found that COVID-19 patients aged 31-40 years (39.63%) were at a higher risk of COVID-19 infection, which was discordant with the findings of several other research.<sup>16,17</sup> While WHO and the Center for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) mention a higher risk for older people to contract SARS-CoV-2 infection, a higher prevalence of COVID-19 among adults in our study could be attributable to their inability to dictate their workplace and the infeasibility of adherence to distancing and quarantine guidelines in their workplace and other communal settings.18,19 The mean age of COVID-19 patients (32 years) in our study was comparable with the findings from other studies.<sup>20,21</sup> Several studies have discussed the different mean age groups in COVID-19 patients, ranging from 32.5 to 76 years.<sup>21,22</sup> In this study, as many as twice the number of SARS-CoV-2 infections, was seen in males, which was consistent with the findings of one of the studies.<sup>23</sup> The lower prevalence of SARS-CoV-2 infection in females in this study might be attributed to the adaptive immune system of females, who have higher numbers of CD4+ T cells, more robust CD8+ T cell cytotoxic activity, and increased B cell production of immunoglobulin as compared to males.<sup>24</sup>

The clinical course of COVID-19 is often unpredictable and is characterized by oligosymptomatic forms of the disease, presenting itself as an asymptomatic or mild case (30-60%) to a moderate or severe/critical case, which is often characterized by pneumonia (6%).<sup>25</sup> In this study, 16.67% of the patients diagnosed with COVID-19 were asymptomatic. This study showed symptomatic Shrestha et al. COVID-19 among Patients Visiting the Department of Emergency of a Tertiary Care Centre: A Descriptive Cross-sectional...

COVID-19 patients to be frequently associated with the presence of multiple symptoms at presentation (29.63-37.96%), including fever (52.78%), dry cough (46.30%), myalgia (36.11%), headache (22.22%) and rhinorrhea (15.74%) as chief clinical complaints. The observed signs and symptoms from this study were comparable to a systematic review and meta-analysis that showed fever (88.7%), cough (57.6%), and dyspnea (45.6%) as the most prevalent symptoms in COVID-19 patients.<sup>26</sup>

Dependency on the viral load concentration is a limitation associated with this serological method, as

## **REFERENCES**

- Ministry of Health and Population. COVID-19 MOHP. Kathmandu (NP): Ministry of Health and Population; [cited 2022 Feb 24]. Available from: https://covid19.mohp.gov. np/. [Full Text]
- World Health Organization. Recommendations for national SARS-CoV-2 testing strategies and diagnostic capacities [Internet]. Geneva (CH): World Health Organization; 2021 Jun 25 [cited 2022 Feb 24]. Available from: https://apps. who.int/iris/handle/10665/342002. [Full Text]
- Food and Drug Administration. Coronavirus (COVID-19) update: FDA authorizes first antigen test to help in the rapid detection of the virus that causes COVID-19 in patients [Internet]. Maryland (US): Food and Drug Administration; 2020 May 9 [cited 2022 Feb 24]. Available from: https:// www.fda.gov/news-events/press-announcements/ coronavirus-covid-19-update-fda-authorizes-first-antigentest-help-rapid-detection-virus-causes. [Full Text]
- Watson J, Whiting PF, Brush JE. Interpreting a covid-19 test result. BMJ. 2020 May 12;369:m1808. [PubMed | Full Text | DOI]
- Mertens P, De Vos N, Martiny D, Jassoy C, Mirazimi A, Cuypers L, et al. Development and potential usefulness of the COVID-19 Ag respi-strip diagnostic assay in a pandemic context. Front Med. 2020 May 8;7:225. [PubMed | Full Text | DOI]
- Chaimayo C, Kaewnaphan B, Tanlieng N, Athipanyasilp N, Sirijatuphat R, Chayakulkeeree M, et al. Rapid SARS-CoV-2 antigen detection assay in comparison with real-time RT-PCR assay for laboratory diagnosis of COVID-19 in Thailand. Virol J. 2020 Nov 13;17(1):177. [PubMed | Full Text | DOI]
- World Health Organization. Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19) [Internet]. Geneva (CH): World Health Organization; [cited 2022 Feb 24]. Available from: https:// www.who.int/health-topics/coronavirus#tab=tab\_3. [Full Text]
- Chu DK, Pan Y, Cheng SM, Hui KP, Krishnan P, Liu Y, Ng DY, Wan CK, Yang P, Wang Q, Peiris M. Molecular diagnosis of a novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) causing an outbreak of pneumonia. Clinical chemistry. 2020 Apr 1;66(4):549-55. [Full Text]
- 9. Koczula KM, Gallotta A. Lateral flow assays. Essays Biochem. 2016 Jun 30;60(1):111-20. [PubMed | Full Text | DOI]

the viral load in patients gradually changes with the severity or less severity of the disease.

## **CONCLUSIONS**

The prevalence of COVID-19 among hospitalized individuals in this study was higher than in previous studies conducted in similar settings.

#### Conflict of Interest: None.

- Cannata F, Bombace S, Stefanini GG. Marcadores cardiacos en pacientes con COVID-19: un instrumento practico en tiempos dificiles [Cardiac biomarkers in patients with COVID-19: pragmatic tools in hard times]. Rev Esp Cardiol. 2021 Jul;74(7):566-68. Spanish. [PubMed | Full Text | DOI]
- Ciaccio M, Agnello L. Biochemical biomarkers alterations in coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). Diagnosis (Berl). 2020 Nov 18;7(4):365-72. [PubMed | Full Text | DOI]
- World Health Organization. Coronavirus disease (COVID-19) pandemic [Internet]. Geneva (CH): World Health Organization; [cited 2022 Feb 24]. Available from: https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/ novel-coronavirus-2019. [Full Text]
- World Health Organization. WHO coronavirus (COVID-19) dashboard [Internet]. Geneva (CH): World Health Organization; [cited 2022 Feb 24]. Available from: https:// covid19.who.int/. [Full Text]
- Baro B, Rodo P, Ouchi D, Bordoy AE, Amaro EN, Salsench SV, et al. Performance characteristics of five antigen-detecting rapid diagnostic test (Ag-RDT) for SARS-CoV-2 asymptomatic infection: a head-to-head benchmark comparison. Journal of Infection. 2021 Jun 1;82(6):269-75. [Full Text | DOI]
- Demuth S, Damaschek S, Schildgen O, Schildgen V. Low sensitivity of SARS-CoV-2 rapid antigen self-tests under laboratory conditions. New Microbes New Infect. 2021 Sep;43:100916. [PubMed | Full Text | DOI]
- Lu Y, Sun K, Guo S, Wang J, Li A, Rong X, et al. Early warning indicators of severe COVID-19: a single-center study of cases from Shanghai, China. Front Med (Lausanne). 2020 Jul 17;7:432. [PubMed | Full Text | DOI]
- Ferguson J, Rosser JI, Quintero O, Scott J, Subramanian A, Gumma M, et al. Characteristics and outcomes of coronavirus disease patients under nonsurge conditions, Northern California, USA, March-April 2020. Emerg Infect Dis. 2020 Aug;26(8):1679-85. [PubMed | Full Text | DOI]
- World Health Organization. WHO delivers advice and support for older people during COVID-19 [Internet]. Geneva (CH): World Health Organization; 2020 Apr 3 [cited 2022 Feb 24]. Available from: https://www.who.int/ news-room/feature-stories/detail/who-delivers-adviceand-support-for-older-people-during-covid-19. [Full Text]

Shrestha et al. COVID-19 among Patients Visiting the Department of Emergency of a Tertiary Care Centre: A Descriptive Cross-sectional...

- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. COVID-19 risks and information for older adults. Philadelphia (US): Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; [updated 2023 Feb 22; cited 2022 Feb 24]. Available from: https://www.cdc.gov/ aging/covid19/index.html. [Full Text]
- Khamis F, Al-Zakwani I, Al Naamani H, Al Lawati S, Pandak N, Omar MB, et al. Clinical characteristics and outcomes of the first 63 adult patients hospitalized with COVID-19: an experience from Oman. J Infect Public Health. 2020 Jul;13(7):906-13. [PubMed | Full Text | DOI]
- Li X, Xu S, Yu M, Wang K, Tao Y, Zhou Y, et al. Risk factors for severity and mortality in adult COVID-19 inpatients in Wuhan. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2020 Jul;146(1):110-8.
  [PubMed | Full Text | DOI]
- Shahriarirad R, Khodamoradi Z, Erfani A, Hosseinpour H, Ranjbar K, Emami Y, et al. Epidemiological and clinical features of 2019 novel coronavirus diseases (COVID-19) in the South of Iran. BMC Infect Dis. 2020 Jun 18;20(1):427. [PubMed | Full Text | DOI]

- 23. Zhou F, Yu T, Du R, Fan G, Liu Y, Liu Z, et al. Clinical course and risk factors for mortality of adult inpatients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China: a retrospective cohort study. Lancet. 2020 Mar 28;395(10229):1054-62. [PubMed | Full Text | DOI]
- 24. Peckham H, de Gruijter NM, Raine C, Radziszewska A, Ciurtin C, Wedderburn LR, et al. Male sex identified by global COVID-19 meta-analysis as a risk factor for death and ITU admission. Nat Commun. 2020 Dec 9;11(1):6317. [PubMed | Full Text | DOI]
- Santos Filho LR, RB AT. Covid-19 and endocrinologyintersections: a review of current knowledge on physiopathological associations. Endocrinol Metab Int J. 2020;8(4):90-5. [Full Text | DOI]
- Norooznezhad AH, Najafi F, Riahi P, Moradinazar M, Shakiba E, Mostafaei S. Primary symptoms, comorbidities, and outcomes of 431 hospitalized patients with confirmative RT-PCR results for COVID-19. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2020 Aug;103(2):834-837. [PubMed | Full Text | DOI]

© The Author(s) 2023.

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit <a href="https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/">https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</a>