En bloc Pancreaticoduodenectomy with Colectomy for Locally Advanced Right Sided Colon Cancer
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ABSTRACT

A 57 year old male presented to our outpatient clinic with history of on and off melena, weight loss and decreasing appetite for 10 months duration and a noticeable mass on the right upper quadrant. Abdominal examination revealed an intra-abdominal lump in right upper quadrant which was subsequently evaluated by colonoscopy, which revealed an ulcer-o-proliferative growth in the hepatic flexure and the biopsy from it confirmed well-differentiated adenocarcinoma. Contrast enhanced computed tomography demonstrated hepatic flexure mass with possible invasion into adjacent duodenum without features of advanced disease. After completion of necessary preoperative assessment and investigations, patient was explored with curative intent and underwent extended right hemicolectomy with en bloc pancreaticoduodenectomy. Patient was discharged on 10th postoperative day and at 14 months follows up; he was doing well without any evidence of recurrence.

Keywords: colectomy; locally advanced; pancreaticoduodenectomy.

INTRODUCTION

Extracolonic involvement of adjacent organ is a known phenomenon for colorectal cancers. However extracolonic tumor spread by right colon cancer is not a frequently seen condition.1,2 Right colon cancer can involve duodenum and pancreas and this condition represents a dilemma for treating surgeon as the optimal procedure for this condition has not been defined very well yet.2 However, many reports of right hemicolecetomy with en bloc pancreaticoduodenectomy has been published and has shown good perioperative results with long term survival.3 Here, we report a similar case of right colon cancer invading into the duodenum and was managed successfully with right hemicolecetomy and en bloc pancreaticoduodenectomy.

CASE REPORT

A 57 year old male presented to our outpatient clinic with history of on and off melena, weight loss and decreasing appetite for 10 months duration and noticeable mass on the right upper quadrant which in fact was gradually increasing on size, on his own words. He didn’t have any major surgical or medical morbidity. On examination, he was thin built but otherwise no other abnormal finding on general physical examination was detected. Abdominal examination did reveal an intra-abdominal lump in right upper quadrant but very doubtful mobility and poorly defined margins. On this basis, patient was subjected to colonoscopy, which revealed an ulcer-o-proliferative growth in the hepatic flexure and the biopsy from which subsequently revealed well-differentiated adenocarcinoma. In the meantime, contrast enhanced computed tomography (CT) scan was performed on him, which confirmed a hepatic flexure mass with suspicious invasion into duodenum (Figure 1). However, no significant lymphadenopathy and any evidence of metastatic disease were noted. Following completion of necessary preoperative lymphadenopathy and investigations, patient was explored with curative intent. Intraoperatively, there was a hepatic flexure mass grossly invading into adjacent duodenal wall without...
gross lymphadenopathy and metastatic disease, which would stop us proceeding to radical surgery (Figure 2). As the disease was locally advanced but resectable we proceeded ahead with extended right hemicolectomy with en bloc pancreaticoduodenectomy (Figure 3).

Figure 1. CT scan showing hepatic flexure mass with suspicious invasion into duodenum.

Figure 2. Intraoperative finding: Hepatic flexure mass invading duodenum.

Figure 3. Resected specimen: Colectomy with en bloc PD.

Postoperative period remained uneventful and patient was discharged on 10th postoperative day. Final histopathology report confirmed poorly differentiated adenocarcinoma with duodenal invasion, free of margins, 0 out of 28 dissected nodes positive (T4bN0 disease). On follow up at 14 months, patient was doing well with out any evidence of recurrence on basis of normal CEA and imaging.

**DISCUSSION**

Colorectal cancer invading adjacent organs is not an infrequent situation seen in around 5.5-16.7% of all colorectal cancers. However, invasion of right colon cancer into duodenum and or pancreas is not a frequent condition and it can present as a diagnostic dilemma. Right colon cancer invading into these adjacent structures is considered as T4 stage disease. Locally advanced cancers invading to adjacent organs are frequently considered incurable. Colorectal cancers are exceptions to this rule as locally advanced colorectal cancers can often be completely resectable. Croner et al showed that clinical suspicion of the local invasion by colon cancer into adjacent organs when microscopically examined revealed neoplastic infiltration in 53.4% cases and inflammatory adherence in 46.6% of cases. Berrospi et al also showed 50% of adherence of locally advanced colon cancer as malignant infiltration.

Diagnosis of locally advanced colon tumors in the past usually occurred intraoperatively. However, availability of the advanced imaging techniques has helped to detect them preoperatively except for small number of cases when they present in emergencies with acute problems like bleeding and obstructions. Abdominal CT Scan can reveal local invasion as high as in 86% of cases. Role of imaging is not just diagnostic of invasion into pancreas and or duodenum but to guide for selection of patients in whom total cytoreduction surgery is feasible.

Locally advanced right sided colon cancer invading the duodenum and or pancreas requires an en bloc pancreaticoduodenectomy and the complexity associated with this surgery seems to have discouraged surgeons to perform such a procedure. However PD has become much safer nowadays. High volume centers display mortality rates after PD of 1-6%. Similarly, in last decades there has been large number of reports in the form of case reports and series on PD with locally advanced colon cancer showing a good perioperative outcome and equally a good long-term survival.

Koe et al also suggested right hemicolecctiony with en bloc PD for large tumors invading the duodenum or if there is any suspicion of malignant infiltration. Curley et al reported four of seven patients having en bloc PD living free of recurrence at a median follow up of 42 months. Saiura et al described 12 patients of colon
cancer invading the pancreatic head and undergoing en bloc PD with colon resection. Of them, five patients survived more than ten years without local recurrence. Some reports of partial duodenal resection for locally advanced colon cancer has been published but it has been found to be associated with poor outcome when compared with PD. This might suggest the need of en bloc resection of the adjacent organs including the lymphatic drainage. However, some authors still do recommend partial duodenal resection when duodenum only is involved without involvement of the ampulla of vater in very high-risk patients. Cirocchi et al published a review on right hemicolectomy with PD vs. local duodenal resection for this group of patients. Out of 53 patients of locally advanced right colon cancer patients undergoing R0 resections, 39 had colectomy with en bloc PD, 10 had partial duodenal resection with ileal flap closure and 4 with direct suture repair after partial duodenal resection. The 30-day postoperative morbidity was similar in both the groups; however 5 year overall survival was 50% for en bloc PD, 25% for partial duodenal resection with ileal flap closure and 0% for primary suture closure of the duodenum. This finding strongly supported the concept of doing en bloc PD with colon resection for locally advanced colon cancer.

CONCLUSION

Locally advanced right colon cancer invading into duodenum and or pancreas not necessarily means incurable disease. When indicated and taken apart the comorbidities, this procedure in very high volume centers can safely be performed with excellent outcomes and giving patients a chance of long term survival. If a surgeon is not familiar with this procedure, it is recommended to close the abdominal wall and refer patient to specialized center as the surgeon with limited experience might judge tumor unresectable and perform bypass reducing the chance of cure.
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